

Thermodynamic Evaluation of Aromatic CH/ π Interactions and Rotational Entropy in a Molecular Rotor

Salvador Pérez-Estrada,[†] Braulio Rodríguez-Molina,[†] Leilei Xiao,[†] Rosa Santillan,[‡] Gonzalo Jiménez-Osés,[†] K. N. Houk,^{*,†} and Miguel A. Garcia-Garibay^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095-1569, United States [‡]Departamento de Química, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados, del IPN, México, D.F. 07360, México

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A molecular rotor built with a stator formed by two rigid 9β -mestranol units having a 90° bent angle linked to a central phenylene rotator has an ideal structure to examine aromatic CH/ π interactions. Energies and populations of the multiple solution conformations from quantum-mechanical calculations and molecular dynamics simulations were combined with variable-temperature (VT) ¹H NMR data to establish the enthalpy of this interaction and the entropy associated with rotation about a single bond. Rotational dynamics in the solid state were determined via VT cross-polarization magic-angle spinning ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.

The dynamics of an isolated molecular rotor depends on the nature of its potential energy, which is a function of the interactions between the rotator and the stator. While the number of orientations accessible to the rotator is related to the number of energy minima per period, the average time between sites depends on the height of the barriers that connect them and the temperature.¹ While exploring steroids as components of molecular rotors,² we recognized that the bent structure of 9β -mestranol 5^3 with a *cis*-B/C ring junction and a nearly 90° bent angle in molecular rotor 1 (Figure 1) can be used to shield a central phenylene with a rotational potential determined by weak CH/ π interactions.⁴ An interesting feature of **1** is that the rotational dynamics of the central phenylene should be determined by a relatively shallow CH/π minimum in an otherwise flat potential, as suggested in Figure 1. Having confirmed that the expected CH/π interaction geometry exists



Figure 1. Structure of 1,4-bis(9 β -mestranol)phenylene rotor 1 and a schematic energy diagram showing the stabilization by CH/ π interactions and a region where the energy is approximately constant.

in the crystalline state, and knowing that it can be easily detected in solution by the anisotropic shielding characteristic in the ¹H NMR spectrum, we set out to investigate the equilibrium of **1** in solution and its rotational dynamics in the crystalline state. One can expect that a system with a low energy minimum in an otherwise flat potential in solution should display an equilibrium determined by an interplay between enthalpic factors favoring the CH/ π interaction (the enthalpically favored region) and entropic factors related to the large number of conformations available to the CH/ π unbound rotational state (the entropically favored region).

We prepared the double 9β -mestranol rotor 1 and single 9β mestranol rotor 6 by a double Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction of 9β -mestranol 5 with 1,4-diiodobenzene as illustrated in Scheme 1. A detailed description of the synthesis, which begins with the preparation of 9β -estrone 3 via the epimerization at C9 of 9α -estrone 2 by a literature procedure,³ is described and analyzed in detail in the Supporting





^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) Me₂SO₄, KOH, H₂O, THF, 99%. (b) Ethynylmagnesium bromide, THF, 0 °C to r.t., 83%. (c) 1,4-Diiodobenzene, Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, CuI, Et₃N, THF, 65 °C, 54%. (d) (i) 1,4-Diiodobenzene, Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, CuI, Et₃N, THF, 65 °C; (ii) TMS-CCH, 50% over two steps.

Received: November 25, 2014 Published: January 30, 2015

Journal of the American Chemical Society

Information (SI). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** displayed signals corresponding to the steroidal moiety and a broad signal at 2.98 ppm characteristic of the 9β -steroid series³ that was assigned to H9 (for key numbering, see Figure 1). A sharp singlet at 6.53 ppm was assigned to the aromatic protons of the central phenylene (H23). Comparison of the chemical shift of H23 in the bent 9 β -mestranol rotor $1 (\delta_{H23} = 6.53 \text{ ppm})$ (Figure 1) to that of a 9α -mestranol rotor^{2b} reported previously ($\delta_{H23} = 7.39$ ppm) shows a considerable upfield shift ($\Delta \delta = 0.86$ ppm) that can be rationalized by the strong shielding effect of the steroid aromatic A ring that is in close proximity to the phenylene rotator. Notably, the rotator signals in the case of 6 are split into two sets. One set is affected by the shielding of the steroid A ring and occurs at ca. 6.65 ppm, and the other is outside the range for this effect and has a normal chemical shift of 7.21 ppm.

X-ray-diffraction-quality single crystals of 1 were obtained by slow evaporation of a CH_2Cl_2 /hexanes/EtOAc solution. The molecular structure of 1 (Figure 2) shows the bent steroids



Figure 2. (a) X-ray molecular structure of rotor 1. (b) Space-filling model of a projection along the phenylene 1,4-axis (red) flanked by the two steroid A rings. (c) Calculated (top) and experimental (bottom) 13 C NMR chemical shifts of phenylene carbons **a**–**d** in the crystal of rotor 1. For full CP-MAS 13 C NMR spectra, VT data, line-shape analysis, and Arrhenius plot, see Figures S19–S21.

shielding two sides of the rotator in what can be described as an anti relation with a C3-C17-C17'-C3' torsional angle of 170°. The 1,4-bis(ethynylphenylene) moiety is almost linear, with a C17–Ph_{centroid}–C17' angle of 173°. As illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, one hydrogen atom on each side of the phenylene rotator points toward the steroid A ring while the other points toward the MeO group. The CH/π interactions can be described by the distances $H23-Ph(A)_{centroid} = 2.86$ Å and H23'-Ph(A)'_{centroid} = 3.20 Å, the first of which is very close to the theoretical value of 2.91 Å, 4a and by angles between C-H bond vectors and the ring centroids of C23-H23- $Ph(A)_{centroid} = 153^{\circ}$ and $C23'-H23'-Ph(A)'_{centroid} = 148^{\circ}$. The angles between the planes of the central phenylene and those of the two A rings have values of 73° and 88°, the latter of which is close to the ideal value of 90° for an aromatic edge-to-face interaction.4

Variable-temperature (VT) ¹H NMR experiments with molecular rotor **1** in CD_2Cl_2 confirmed structural changes that affect the chemical shift of the rotator C–H group. This signal systematically shifted from 6.55 ppm at 295 K to 6.32 at 222 K (where the full spectrum broadened), while the rest of the spectrum remained basically unchanged (Figure 3). A



Figure 3. VT ¹H NMR spectra of double 9β -mestranol rotor 1 in CD₂Cl₂ at 500 MHz showing the temperature dependence of the H23 signal.

singlet that integrates for all four aromatic hydrogens is indicative of a temperature-dependent process that occurs in the fast-exchange regime, as expected for structures with and without the CH/ π interactions. Analogous measurements with the single 9β -mestranol 6 between 296 and 207 K in CD₂Cl₂ also resulted in the gradual upfield shift of the H23 aromatic signal, while no changes were observed in the signal at 7.21 ppm, which is outside of the shielding effects of the steroid A ring (Figure S18 in the SI). Considering that the observed chemical shift of H23 is a weighted average of all conformers at equilibrium, we developed a simplified model to calculate the energetics of the CH/ π interaction that assumes a fast equilibration between two sets of states. States I represent conformations where the CH/π interaction is absent, and states II depicts conformations where the interaction is present (Figure 4). The observed chemical shifts (δ_{obs}) are given by the



Figure 4. Schematic representation of the conformational equilibrium of rotor 1 viewed down the long molecular axis. The flanking blue bars represent a cross section of the steroid A rings and the red bars the central phenylene rotator. The CH/π interaction is highlighted on the enthalpically favored states.

weighted average of the chemical shifts of the main conformers as follows:

$$\delta_{\rm obs} = \chi_{\rm I} \delta_{\rm I} + \chi_{\rm II} \delta_{\rm I}$$

where the $\chi_{\rm I}$ and $\chi_{\rm II}$ are the populations of conformers I and II, respectively, which can be used to determine the equilibrium constant ($K_{\rm eq} = \chi_{\rm II}/\chi_{\rm I}$), free energy ($\Delta G = -RT \ln K_{\rm eq}$), enthalpy (ΔH), and entropy (ΔS) by analysis of a Van't Hoff plot.

Molecular dynamics simulations in explicit solvent revealed multiple stacked and nonstacked conformations that coexist at

Journal of the American Chemical Society

298 K; nonsymmetric conformations different from that observed in the solid state appeared to be dominant (see the SI). In order to estimate the relative populations of conformers I and II, one needs to know their corresponding chemical shifts. The value for H23 in the CH/ π unbound states I in rotor 1 was considered (and subsequently computationally supported) to be equal to that of the noninteracting hydrogens in 6 ($\delta_{H24} = 7.21$ ppm). With regard to the chemical shift of the same proton in the CH/ π bound states II, we undertook a complete conformational analysis of 1. Calculations at the SCS-MP2/6-311+G(2d,p) level of theory (see the SI for benchmarking results of up to 29 methods) with a polarizable continuum solvent model indicated that rotor 1 preferentially exists in two lowest-free-energy conformations with edge-to-face interactions, 1- β -IV and 1- β -IV (Figures 5 and S24), that lack the



Figure 5. Selected QM-calculated conformations 1- α -I (analogous to the crystal structure), 1- β -IV, and 1- β -IV' for 1. Relative enthalpies (ΔH) and free energies (ΔG) in kcal/mol were computed at the SCS-MP2/6-311+G(2d,p)// ω -B97X-D/6-31G(d) level. Conformer Boltzmann populations (χ) were obtained at 298 K. The calculated chemical shifts for H23 (average of four protons) are given in ppm.

apparent symmetry observed in the crystal. The two steroids have a tendency to approach each other so that only one C-H group can experience the CH/π interaction in solution at any given time. Calibrated chemical shifts were obtained for the major conformers using the GIAO⁵ method (Table S6 in the SI), and the calculated chemical shifts for H23 were used to determine the weighted average value representative of conformer II (δ_{H23} = 6.1 ppm). Conformational analysis of the single 9β -mestranol rotor 6 at the same level of theory revealed one major conformer, which provided the same calculated chemical shift for H23 as that in compound 1, and an excellent agreement for the proton without the CH/π interaction (δ_{H24} = 7.24 ppm). We were able to validate the computational results by comparing the calculated and experimental ¹³C chemical shifts of the central rotor using the crystal structure as the input for the calculation and comparing those with the cross-polarization magic-angle spinning (CP-MAS) ¹³C NMR spectrum of a microcrystalline sample (Figure 2c). VT CP-MAS ¹³C NMR spectroscopy confirmed the relative chemical shifts by the site-exchange line shape changes that occur when the phenylene starts to rotate (Figure 2c) with a barrier of 14.1 kcal/mol (Figures S20 and S21), which was further supported by quantum-mechanical (QM) calculations (Figure S25). The excellent agreement between the experimental and calculated ¹³C signals provides strong support for the predicted ¹H shielding. Furthermore,

using the Johnson–Bovey⁶ ring current model, which takes into account the geometry of the interaction, we arrived at the same result. Thus, with the δ_{I} and δ_{II} values in hand, we calculated the relative populations χ_{I} and χ_{II} and the corresponding equilibrium constants at each of the temperatures explored for compounds **1** and **6**. The van't Hoff plots (ln *K* vs 1000/*T*; Figures S22 and S23) of the corresponding equilibrium constants provided us with the values of ΔH , ΔS , and ΔG for the corresponding conformational equilibria. From the data shown in Table 1, we note that the free energy (ΔG) at 298 K

Table 1. Enthalpy and Entropy Values for the Conformational Equilibrium of Compounds 1 and 6 Derived from VT ¹H NMR Spectroscopy

rotor	ΔH^a	ΔS^{b}	$\Delta G^{a,c}$
1	-1.74	-5.12	-0.21
6	-1.01	-3.29	-0.03
^{<i>a</i>} In kcal mol ⁻¹ . ^{<i>b</i>} In cal mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ . ^{<i>c</i>} Calculated at 298 K.			

slightly favors the CH/ π bound states II. As expected from statistical entropy considerations, the entropy term for the double 9β -mestranol rotor 1 is larger than that for the single steroid structure in 6, since the number of conformational states that arise by rotation of the phenylene ring and the steroids in 1 is greater than that in 6. The fact that the entropy factors for the two compounds are within 3-5 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ is indicative of the entropy cost associated with freezing rotations about a single bond, as suggested by Page and Jencks.⁷ It is reassuring that the enthalpy term favors states II (of which $1-\beta$ - IV' in Figure 5 is a representative structure) at the lowest temperatures, where the $T\Delta S$ term is minimal, in agreement with the attractive nature of the edge-to-face-interaction. Correspondingly, the rotationally CH/π unbound states I, although higher in enthalpy, are favored at higher temperatures because they can occupy more rotational states. Comparison of the thermodynamic parameters of compounds 1 and 6 shows that the energetics of the edge-to-face interaction are not a factor of 2, in agreement with the fact that having the two simultaneous interactions is unlikely.

The free energy values for the edge-to-face interaction reported here and in the literature by Wilcox⁸ ($\Delta G = -0.24$ kcal mol⁻¹), Jennings⁹ ($\Delta G = -0.05$ to -0.67 kcal mol⁻¹), and Hunter¹⁰ ($\Delta G = -0.33$ kcal mol⁻¹) are small and slightly different. It is noteworthy that there are remarkable similarities between our measured enthalpies ($\Delta H = -1.0$ to -1.7 kcal mol⁻¹) and the experimental enthalpy of the benzene dimer reported by Krause et al.¹¹ ($\Delta H = -1.6 \pm 0.2$ kcal mol⁻¹), Jennings^{4a,9} ($\Delta H = -1.2$ to -1.5 kcal mol⁻¹), and Furuta¹² ($\Delta H = -1.3$ kcal mol⁻¹). We interpret an entropy change in the range of -3.3 to -5.1 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ as reflecting the difference between a small number of CH/ π bound states and a larger number of unbound rotational states, as suggested in Figure 1.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Syntheses and characterization of new compounds and solidstate CP-MAS ¹³C analysis; computational procedures, Cartesian coordinates, electronic energies, entropies, enthalpies, Gibbs free energies, and lowest frequencies of the calculated structures. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*houk@chem.ucla.edu *mgg@chem.ucla.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant DMR1402682 to M.G.-G. and CHE-1361104 to K.N.H.) and used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) supported by Grant OCI-1053575 along with the UCLA Institute of Digital Research and Education (IDRE).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Vogelsberg, C. S.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, 41, 1892. (b) Kottas, G. S.; Clarke, L. I.; Horinek, D.; Michl, J. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, 105, 1281.

(2) (a) Rodríguez-Molina, B.; Pozos, A.; Cruz, R.; Romero, M.; Flores, B.; Farfán, N.; Santillan, R.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 2993. (b) Rodríguez-Molina, B.; Farfán, N.; Romero, M.; Méndez-Stivalet, J. M.; Santillan, R.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7280. (c) Czajkowska-Szczykowska, D.; Rodríguez-Molina, B.; Pozos, A.; Magaña-Vergara, N. E.; Santillan, R.; Morzicky, J. W.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9970. (d) Rodríguez-Molina, B.; Pérez Estrada, S.; Garcia-Garibay, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10388.

(3) (a) Gabbard, R. B.; Segaloff, A. Steroids **1983**, 42, 3195. (b) Bull, J. R.; de Koning, P. D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **2000**, 1003.

(4) (a) Jennings, W. B.; Farrell, B. M.; Malone, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 885. (b) Wheeler, S. E.; Houk, K. N. Mol. Phys. 2009, 107, 749. (c) Salonem, L. M.; Ellermann, M.; Diederich, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4808.

(5) (a) London, F. J. Phys. Radium 1937, 8, 397–409. (b) Wolinski,
K.; Hinton, J. F.; Pulay, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8251–8260.
(c) Cheeseman, J. R.; Trucks, G. W.; Keith, T. A.; Frisch, M. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 104, 5497–5509.

(6) (a) Johnson, C. E.; Bovey, F. A. J. Chem. Phys. 1958, 29, 1012.
(b) Emsley, J. W.; Feeney, J.; Sutcliffe. L. H. High Resolution Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy; Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1965; Vol. 1, pp 595-604.

(7) Page, M. I.; Jencks, W. P. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1971, 68, 1678.

(8) Paliwal, S.; Geib, S.; Wilcox, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4497.

(9) (a) Hamor, T. A.; Jennings, W. B.; Proctor, L. D.; Tolley, M. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 **1990**, 25. (b) Boyd, D. R.; Evans, T. S.; Jennings, W. B.; Malone, J. F.; O'Sullivan, W.; Smith, A. Chem. Commun. **1996**, 2269. (c) Jennings, W. B.; Farrell, B. M.; Malone, J. F. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, *71*, 2277.

(10) Carver, F. J.; Hunter, C. A.; Livingstone, D. J.; McCabe, J. F.; Seward, E. M. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2002**, *8*, 2847.

(11) Krause, H.; Ernstberger, B.; Neusser, H. J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1991, 184, 411.

(12) Morimoto, T.; Uno, H.; Furuta, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3672.